

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/775,243	02/11/2004	Shigeru Shoji	248742US2	9918
22850	7590 12/13/2005		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			DONOVAN, LINCOLN D	
1940 DUKE ALEXANDI	STREET RIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2832	
			DATE MAILED: 12/13/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/775,243	SHOJI, SHIGERU				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Lincoln Donovan	2832				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Se	eptember 2005.					
	action is non-final.					
		secution as to the merits is				
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
·	,,, panie Quayre, 1000 0101 11, 10					
Disposition of Claims		·				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 3-10 is/are withdrawn	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>3-10</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 11 February 2004 is/are		d to by the Examiner				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		• •				
		7.00.07.07.107.17.7.02.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:		-(d) or (f).				
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		d in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	or the certified copies not receive	a.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	ite atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>07-22-05, 02-11-04</u> .	6) Other:					
S. Palent and Trademark Office						

### **DETAILED ACTION**

Applicant's election with traverse of claims 1-2 in the reply filed on 09-28-05 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that to search the various embodiments claimed and method of forming the inductor does not place a serious burden on the examiner. This is not found persuasive because the method is classified in a differing area than the apparatus and the various embodiments require differing search and/or consideration.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

## Drawings

Figures 7A-8B must be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The drawings are objected to because applicant states that there are "four layers" in the plurality of wire layers, specification, page 21, line 19. It appears that only three layers are shown and applicant should label the coil thickness and line widths (as well as make appropriate corrections to the specification). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid

Page 3

Art Unit: 2832

abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 lacks sufficient structural support for the functional limitation of the "the wire layers are formed by a thin film forming process."

Art Unit: 2832

In claim 1, line 4, applicant should clarify what is meant by the core "coinciding" with a path of a magnetic line of force generated by the coil.

In claim 2, line 3, applicant should clarify what is meant by "line width of said wire layers."

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-2, as best understood in view of the rejections under USC 112, 2nd paragraph, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Gamou et al. [US 6,847,284].

Regarding claim 1, Gamou et al. discloses a high density inductor [figure 7] comprising:

- a coil assembly made of a plurality of stacked wire layers [21-24] formed of a thin film [column 1, lines 20-40] having a spiral shape; and
- a core assembly [25a-b] disposed in such a way as to coincide with a path of a magnetic line of force generated by the coil.

Regarding claim 2, Gamou et al. discloses the ratio of the thickness of the coil to the "line width" of the wire layers being equal or greater than 1 [figure 7].

Art Unit: 2832

### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kitamura [US 6,618,929].

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lincoln Donovan whose telephone number is 571-272-1988. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Enad Elvin can be reached on 571-272-1990. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ldd